Clinical and histopathological manifestations of 17 cases of minor salivary glands sialolithiasis
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Background: Minor salivary gland sialolithiasis (MSGS) is rarely reported and can be easily misdiagnosed. This article was designed to provide updated clinical and pathological data, to contribute to the diagnosis and clinical treatment of MSGS.

Methods: The features of 17 cases of histopathologically diagnosed MSGS ranging from 2001 to 2019 were collected from the Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital Affiliated to the Medical College of Shanghai Jiao Tong University and were retrospectively analyzed.

Results: Male predisposition was found in these cases (female:male =5:12). The patients’ ages ranged from 21 to 83 years, with a mean age of 61.1 (±14.8) years. Most cases were identified within 50–70 years old (n=12; 70.6%), while 4 patients (23.5%) were aged ≥70 years. The most common anatomical location of the sialoliths was cheek (n=8; 47.0%), followed by the upper lip (n=7; 41.2%) and the lower lip (n=7; 41.2%). Only 3 cases (17.6%) were initially diagnosed correctly as MSGS. The lesions included gland inflammation (n=15; 88.2%), ductal ectasia (n=12; 70.6%) and ductal epithelium hyperplasia (n=8; 47%), while parenchyma or mucosa inflammations were also seen in 6 cases (35.3%).

Conclusions: Our study showed an age tendency and a male predilection of MSGS. We reasonably believed that MSGS was an underestimated disease and should be distinguished prudently from other diseases.
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Introduction

Minor salivary glands sialolithiasis (MSGS) is a kind of sialolithiasis occurred in minor salivary glands but rarely reported (1-3). The first article on the subject could date back to 1865 by Papin (4). The common clinical manifestation of MSGS is a nodular, hard or tender lump with good mobility. Although having a long history, MSGS is usually misdiagnosed due to its undistinguishable clinical and imaging manifestations. Sialadenitis, mucocele, fibroma, pleomorphic adenoma (PA)
and hemangioma is the most common wrong diagnoses for MSGS patients (5,6).

The etiology of MSGS is still unclear. Recent study found that sialoliths formation could be divided into two phases: crystallization phase and growing phase. During the crystallization stage, disequilibrium of electrolyte leads to changes of solubility of calcium and phosphorus, calcium deposit gradually, combining with mucopolysaccharide to form calcified core. In the growing stage, inorganic and organic components deposit layer by layer and sialolith form gradually. In the crystallization stage, calcium-permeated phosphorite and calcium oxalate are located in the calcification front and can be further converted into apatite crystal structure, which is more stable (7).

There are 450 to 750 minor salivary glands distributed in head and neck region, most of which are located in the lips, cheeks, tongue, floor of the mouth, hard palate, soft palate, uvula, posterior area of molars, and surrounding tonsils. Among these regions, the cheek and lip are the most common area to be reported as occurring a MSGS (5).

Histologically, the sialoliths tended to be submucosal and constituted by multiple layers with diverse degrees of mineralization. Moreover, chronic parenchymal or mucosa inflammation could be commonly seen in MSGS as well as squamous metaplasia and duct ectasia.

MSGS may be a kind of underestimated disease for its clinical features which were similar to other oral nodules (6). Therefore, we collected 17 cases of MSGS and identified the clinicopathological characterizations of these cases, in order to analyze the specifics of the disease to contribute to the diagnosis and clinical treatment.

Methods

Tissue specimens

Histopathology diagnoses ranging from 2001 to 2019 were obtained from the Shanghai Ninth People’s Hospital Affiliated to the Medical College of Shanghai Jiao Tong University. All diagnoses containing the key words “minor salivary gland” and “sialolithiasis” were collected. MSGS diagnosis was verified only when sialoliths existed in the minor salivary gland. Individual data such as age, gender, anesthesia method and therapeutic regimen were collected for analysis. All the excised specimens were fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin. The formalin fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues were cut into 5-μm slices. Hematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining was performed and an inverted microscope was used to observe. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine (SH9H-2021-T105-2) and individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived.

Statistical analysis

The results were analyzed with SPSS 25.0 and were expressed as the percentage.

Results

Within the 19-year period, 17 cases of MSGS were identified. The features of these cases are presented in Table 1, including gender, age, anatomical location of the sialoliths, the biopsy consequences of the duct, ductal epithelium, gland and parenchyma or mucosa, and treatment.

In detail, the patients’ ages ranged from 21 to 83 years, with a mean age of 61.1 (±14.8) years. Most cases were identified within 50–70 years (n=12; 70.6%), while four patients (23.5%) were aged ≥70 years. In gender, male predisposition was found in these cases (female:male = 5:12). The most common anatomical location of the sialoliths was the cheek (n=8; 47.0%), followed by the upper lip (n=7; 41.2%) and the lower lip (n=7; 41.2%). Among the 17 cases, only 3 cases (17.6%) were initially diagnosed correctly as MSGS and the initial presumptive diagnoses included sialadenitis, mucocele, fibroma, PA and hemangioma. The diagnoses were made by visual assessment or X-ray. Clinicians tended to omit MSGS as a diagnosis option. All the patients had undergone an excision under general anesthesia and were recovery after surgery. Histopathological analysis showed that 23.5% (n=4) of MSGS were multiple. The lesions included gland inflammation (n=15; 88.2%), ductal ectasia (n=12; 70.6%) and ductal epithelium hyperplasia (n=8; 47%), while parenchyma or mucosa inflammations were also seen in 6 cases (35.3%).

Representative clinical and histopathological images of MSGS were shown in Figures 1 and 2. The HE staining showed homogeneous or heterogeneous characterizations.

Discussion

It was reported that MSGS is most common in the upper lip (49.2%) and cheek (37.3%), followed by the lower lip
(5.5%), vestibule (4.7%), and palate and tongue (1.6%) (5,8). Due to the rarely diagnosed rate and the small number of cases, we reasonably believed that MSGS was an underestimated disease in the clinical work. In our study, we identified that the most occurrence age was 50–70 years old, including the middle-aged and the elder, which accorded with the previous studies (3,5).

The etiology of MSGS is still unclear because of the complex formation of sialolithiasis. At the beginning of the formation, a nucleus of sialolith is formed, consisting of exfoliated epithelial cell products, bacteria, foreign matter and bacterial decomposition products which is covered with deposited calcium (9,10). Then, the formation process could be divided into two phases: crystallization phase and growing phase as we mentioned in the introduction. Besides, Kasaboğlu et al. identified the ratio of calcium and phosphorus (1.50–2.36) in sialoliths by using X-ray energy spectrometer (11). The main crystal formation in sialoliths is hydroxyapatite \([\text{Ca}_5(\text{PO}_4)_3\text{OH}]\) (12), followed by tricalcium phosphate \([\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2]\) and some other crystals with less content, such as calcium-permeated phosphostone \((\text{CaHPO}_4\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O})\) and calcium oxalate \((\text{CaC}_2\text{O}_4\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O})\) (13). Therefore, existence of substances as a core, property alteration of saliva and calcium deposition account for the most important conditions of sialolithiasis formation.

The main histopathological features of MSGS are similar to chronic obstructive sialadenitis, including duct ectasia, acinus atrophy and secretions retention (14). MSGS could
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MSGS, minor salivary gland sialolithiasis.
be characterized pathologically as mostly highly-mineralized laminated calculi, single or multiple, accompanied with duct ectasia, ductal epithelium hyperplasia and squamous metaplasia, gland inflammation and parenchyma or mucosa inflammation (15). Moreover, MSGS could cause secretion retention of glands, further resulting in inflammation, and gland infection is also found in many cases (16).

**MSGS is a kind of chronic disease, whose common clinical manifestation is a nodular, hard or tender lump with good mobility. However, due to its similarity of clinical characteristics with other disease, it was reported that less than 20% sialolithiasis were initially diagnosed correctly (15). Moreover, sialoliths may move on their own, thus increasing the difficulty of precise diagnosis. MSGS can be easily diagnosed by biopsy, but before surgery, it is still of vital importance to make an accurate diagnosis.**

Therefore, we’d like to discuss the differential diagnosis between MSGS and other diseases in this article.

If the auxiliary examinations, especially X-ray, ultrasound image, can check out the calculus, a firm diagnosis can be reached. However, a part of sialoliths might be radiotransparent and do not show characteristic appearance of calculus in the imaging examinations, due to its tiny volume (15,17). If this happened, MSGS should be distinguished from mucocele, fibroma, malignant or benign tumors of accessory parotid gland, submucosal foreign substances and sialadenitis. For example, mucocele is a common exophytic lesion in the minor salivary glands, which has a bluish to translucent color, soft touch and dome-shape, varying from 0.3 to 2.2 cm (18,19). Therefore, the visual examination and palpation are essential and the non-enhanced computed tomography (CT) may be

---

**Figure 1** Clinical view of a 70-year-old man who had a buccal swelling and pain. (A) An excision was performed (the black arrow is the lesion); (B) two sialoliths were found in the mass.

**Figure 2** Representative image of HE staining of two cases (magnification is 40×). (A) Single sialolith was found with a little inflammation and ductal ectasia; (B) multiple sialoliths were found with apparent inflammation, ductal ectasia and ductal epithelium hyperplasia.
conducive to a correct diagnosis. Besides, fibroma is a traumatic lesion with a nodular appearance. High resolution ultrasound image may be a good way to distinguish fibroma and MSGS (20). Furthermore, when MSGS has an adhesion to the surrounding tissue due to the inflammation, clinicians perhaps cannot tell the difference between MSGS and malignant tumors. The symptoms of malignant tumors are conspicuous, such as the irregular surface and the indistinct border of the tumor, pain, cervical lymphadenecasis, facioplegia, open mouth difficulty and cachexia (21), which MSGS will never lead up to. In benign tumors, CT and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) can be applied. For example, on dynamic contrast-enhanced CT and MR imaging, most PA show continuously and gradually increased enhancement (22).

Nowadays, the clinical application of salivary gland endoscope has greatly improved the detection rate and diagnostic accuracy of sialoliths. More and more X-ray negative calculi and multiple calculi can be clearly diagnosed by using endoscope (23-25). However, some of sialolithiasis even does not have obvious symptoms which adds difficulty to the early diagnosis, which reminds us to pay more attention to this disease. It would be terrible if a MSGS with serious inflammation was misdiagnosed as a malignant tumor, for an extended excision or even an elective neck dissection might be taken as a treatment. Above all, the medical history, visual examination, palpation and auxiliary examinations for the diagnosis of MSGS need to be taken seriously by clinicians.

Admittedly, the limitation of the study is that few clinicians made an imaging test before treatment. So the information of the patients' imaging test was little.

With respect to the therapeutic regimen of MSGS, excision under local or general anesthesia was widely believed to be the first choice. Sialoliths and gland involved should be removed entirely in the operation. Once excised, MSGS has a favorable prognosis. Local and distant recurrences were not observed in all 17 cases.

Conclusions

To summarize, most patients with MSGS were found aged over 50 years old, and were predominantly male. The common sites of the disease were buccal mucosa and upper lip. Clinicians should pay more attention to this disease, especially unknown nodules in upper lips and buccal mucosa. Our study identified some specific characterizations of MSGS and distinguished MSGS from other diseases in the hope that MSGS could be diagnosed more correctly to provide precise treatment for patients.
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